

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/883,276	<b>Applicant(s)</b> OSADA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Marc S. Zimmer	<b>Art Unit</b> 1712	

**All Participants:**

(1) Marc S. Zimmer.

(2) Richard Gallagher.

**Date of Interview:** 8 January 2004

**Status of Application:** allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

\_\_\_\_\_

Claims discussed:

11

Prior art documents discussed:

\_\_\_\_\_

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature) \_\_\_\_\_ (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) \_\_\_\_\_

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was advised of problems in claim 11, which had been written using language extracted directly from the Specification at pages 13 and 14. In particular, the hydroxyl equivalent amounts for each of (i), (ii), and (iii) had not been specified. Alternative language was worked out and it was agreed that formula 8 should be moved to directly beneath its first mention. .